SOFTWARE DESCRIPTION ANNOTATED OUTLINE

(See DoD 5000.4-M for aditional guidance)

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

Describe the characteristics of the system software. Supply requested data for both the top level and each Computer Software Configuration Item (CSCI) (and CSC when available). Information presented at the top level should apply to all the levels below.

Other data that could affect system costs should be provided at the appropriate level of detail. This includes any information not requested below but which is necessary to prepare a cost estimate. Other input data that are used in a software cost model should be included as an appendix to the Cost Analysis Requirements Description (CARD) submission.

In each question, if a response pertains only to selected software items, identify those items in the "Additional Comments" block.

 $\textbf{Section I - Top-Level Characteristics}. \ \textbf{Above the CSCI Level}. \ \textbf{Information provided in this section should apply across the system's software, including each CSCI (and each CSC when available) and each software build.}$

Section II - Lower Level Characteristics. Complete for each CSCI (or each CSC when available) and each build.

SECTION I - TOP-LEVEL CHARACTERISTICS (Above CSCI Level)													
1. SYSTEM REQUIREMENT VOLATIL	.ITY												
a. LEVEL OF DEFINITION AND UNDERSTANDING OF SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS (X one)					b. HOW WILL OVERALL TECHNOLOGY ADVANCES DURING DEVELOPMENT AFFECT THE PROJECT? (X one)								
(1) Very little					(1) Significant advances; more than one system upgrade								
(2) Questionable					Between one a	and three signific	ant system modific	ations					
(3) Fairly complete				(3) 1	Minor modifica	ntions							
(4) Very complete						system or requir	rements						
(5) Additional Comments				(5) Additional Comments									
c. REQUIREMENTS VOLATILITY DUF	RING DEVE	LOPMEN	IT (X one)	2. SYSTEM INTEGRATION DIFFICULTY									
(1) No changes (2) Small noncritical changes					a. EXPECTED LEVEL OF DIFFICULTY OF INTEGRATING AND TESTING THE CSCI'S TO THE ELEMENT LEVEL (X one)								
(3) Frequent noncritical change	S			(1) Very little integration, no complex interfaces									
(4) Occasional moderate chang					,		gration/interface co	mplexity					
(5) Frequent moderate changes						n interfaces, som	•	1					
(6) Many large changes							i ation process antici	oated					
(7) Additional Comments					nal Comments		'						
3. USE OF COMMERCIAL OFF-THE-S	HELE SOE	TWΔPF	(COTS)										
				IFLE SOFTV	/ARF INTO TH	HE SYSTEM (X o	ne)						
a. EXPECTED IMPACT OF INTEGRATING COMMERCIAL OFF-THE-SHELF SOFTWARE INTO THE SYSTEM (X one) (1) Some impacts on the design/development effort to ensure that vendor-supplied COTS software interfaces correctly with the								the					
developed operational software													
(2) Few impacts created by the COTS software packages to support the operating environment of the applications software; COTS is in multiple releases and is relatively stable								COTS					
(3) No impacts; purchased soft	nly for opera	ting environ	ment support	functions (i.e., o	perating system)								
(4) Additional Comments													
4. SOFTWARE SIZE ESTIMATE OF C most likely, and high (L, M, H) KS	SCIs (CSC LOC estim	s). (Clas ates for e	ssify each CS each. Refer t	CI into appr o glossary i	opriate basing n DoD 5000.4	ı modes (e.g., sp 1-M for definition	ace, air, etc.). Ider s.)	tify the low,					
MODE	Total KSLOC Percent Percent Program- Basis												
MODE	(2	2)	New SLOC	Reused SLOC	Modified SLOC	ming Language*	of Estimate**	Library %***					
(1)	L N	1 H	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)					
a. SPACE													
b. AIR													
c. GROUND-MOBILE													
d. GROUND-FIXED													
* Computer language used.				** Basis o	f size estimate	e: analogy, funct	ion points, or other						

** Percent added to library for future reuse of other activities.

 ADDITIONAL SYSTEM SOFTWARE FACTORS (Describe any additional factors that could affect the cost and/or size of the software being developed for the system.) 											
	-				SECTION II -	LOWE	R-LEVEL CHA	RACTE	RISTICS		
	CSCI (CSC)-LEVE CSCI (CSC) NAM		ACTERISTI	CS							
a. (JSCI (CSC) NAIVI	_									
b. F	FUNCTIONAL DES	SCRIPTI	ON (When a	available	e, this descri	ption s	hould map to	the fun	ctional allocat	ion docu	ıment)
7. (GENERAL INFORM	MATION									
a. <i>F</i>	APPLICATION TY	'PE <i>(X aı</i>	ll that apply	·)		b. AF	PPLICATIONS	DOMAI	IN <i>(Enter perce</i>	entage c	of all that apply)
	(1) Prototype t						(1) Command				(8) Environment/Tools
	(2) Prototype to be built into delivered program						(2) Graphics,	•			(9) Training Software
(3) Complete stand-alone program (4) Component within a system							(3) Communi (4) Signal Pro				(10) Other Support Software (11) Avionics
			-	ole prog	rams		(5) Process C	_	J		(12) Other <i>(Specify)</i>
(5) Reusable component for multiple programs(6) System with multiple components					(6) Interface Systems				<u> </u>	() (-)	
(7) Additional Comments					(7) Test Systems						
						(13) <i>A</i>	Additional Con	nments			
	SOURCE CODE M	AIV (Fat	ar naraanta	as of all	that apply)						
C. 3	(1) Operating S			je ur air		matical	Operations		(7) String Ma	ninulatio	nn
	(2) Real-Time	-			(5) Interac		•		(8) Other (Sp		
	(3) Data Stora	ge and F	Retrieval				munications				
(9) A	Additional Comm	ents									
4 [DEVIEL ODMENIT N	/ETUOD	1					0 80	YET/WA DE INIT	ENIDED I	USE (V ana)
d. DEVELOPMENT METHOD (1) Ada Development (4) Prototype					e. SOFTWARE INTENDED USE (X one) (1) Imbedded - identify associated hardware system						
(2) Ada Incremental (5) Spiral					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
(3) Ada Full Use (6) Traditional Incremental (2) Other (Specify)											
(8) A	Additional Comm	ents									
f C	OETWARE NOVE	ELTV 1	this the fir	ct CSCI	or CSC of it	te kind	or are the fu	actions	and character	ictics w	ell understood and used
	Isewhere in the s			31 6361	01 030 01 11	S KIIIU,	Yes		No Character	ISLICS VV	en understood and used
g. F	PROGRAMMING I	PERSON	INEL CAPA	BILITIES	AND EXPER	RIENCE			110		
(1) [Does programmin	ng persoi	nnel have a	nalysis d	capabilities e	xperier		ntify sta	aff programmi	ng capa	bilities.
((Indicate yes or n	no; indica	ate number	of years	experience.)					
(2) [Does programmin	na nersoi	nnel have a	nalveie a	annlication e	vnerien	nce? (4) Ide	ntify nr	ogrammer land	aliade ex	xperience <i>(by language and</i>
	(Indicate yes or n								years experie		sperience (by language and
h. S	SOFTWARE SCH					i. S	CHEDULE AN	D STAF	FING CONST		
	(1) Attach soft						(1) Accelera				(3) Extended schedule
	(2) Identify sta						(2) Normal		le REQUIRED DOI	D STDS	501104410
	ECURITY CLASS X one)	IFICATI(JN (<i>DODD</i> S	5200.28	s (reterence	(g)) cla	ssification)	K. H	I		A (reference (h)) documentation
,	(1) Class D	(3	3) Class C2		(5) Class B1		(7) Class B3	3			A (reference (h))
	(2) Class C1		1) Class C3		(6) Class B2		1`'		(3) Other (S		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

8. BASIS OF SIZE ESTIMATE											
a. (X as applicable)		b. IF	SYSTEM WA	AS SIZE	D USING FUNC	CTION PO	INTS,	ENTER NUM	IBER OF:		
(1) From lower level		(1) Inputs (Unique major data types that enter the system)									
(2) Function points	(2) Outputs (Unique logical major report formats generated by system)						system)				
				(3) Inquiries (Types of queries that result in informational searches and							
(4) Other (Specify)		response		7							
(5) Additional Comments		(4) External		200							
(b) / idailional comments				ces nique logical file	nc/dataha	coc uc	nd hy tha an	unlication)			
9. SYSTEM HARDWARE ENVIRONMENT			(5) Internal	illes (Ui	riique logical file	-s/uatava.	563 U30	ed by the ap	plication		
a. AVAILABILITY OF TARGET PROCESSING	C LIADDIMADE /	Vanal									
				-	RTUAL MACHIN						
(1) To be developed; will be complete				(Based on number of major/minor changes) (if different from development system)							
(2) To be developed under contract co	oncurrently with	softw	are;								
can/will have major impact	(1) Low - major and minor changes rarely										
(3) To be developed under contract co	oncurrently with	softw	are;		(2) Medium - major changes 2/year, minor 2/month						
will have little impact					(3) High - major changes 4 or more times/year, minor						
(4) No new hardware to be developed	<u> </u>				often						
(5) Additional Comments				(4) Ad	ditional Comme	ents					
c. TARGET SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE (If diff	ferent from deve	elopme	nt system) (x	(one)	d. REHOSTING	3 IMPACT	(Effoi	t to convert	from host		
(1) Centralized (Single processor)								ary) (X one)			
(2) Tightly coupled (Multiple processor	or)				(1) None	<u> </u>					
(3) Loosely coupled (Multiple process							and/o	or system ch	nange		
(4) Functional processors communica				F				stem change	•		
(5) Distributed <i>(Centralized database)</i>	_						-	_			
				-	(4) Major language and system change (5) Additional Comments						
(6) Distributed (Distributed database) (7) Additional Comments					(5) Additional (Johnnents	•				
(7) Additional Comments											
		I				1					
e. MAIN STORAGE CONSTRAINT			ECUTION TIN					FUNCTION			
(1) Percentage of main storage expected to CSCIs or CSCs sharing main storage har	be used by all		ercentage of a me expected					TED IN FIRM	IVVARE		
to random access storage, such as core,		C	SCIs or CSCs	sharin	sharing consumption (1) Percentage						
circuit, or plated-wire. Excludes drums,			execution tir								
bubble storage.)	•					(2) Addi	tional (Comments			
(2) Additional Comments	(2) A	dditional Com	ments								
10. SOFTWARE COMPLEXITY		•									
a. SOFTWARE INTERFACE COMPLEXITY	b. EXPECTED	LEVEL	OF DIFFICUL	TY OF	INTEGRATING	AND TES	TING	COMPONEN	TS TO THE		
(1) With how many CSCIs or CSCs does	CSCI OR CS										
this CSCI or CSC interface?	(1) No in	iternal	integration								
				compl	ex interfaces						
(2) Additional Comments		little integration, no complex interfaces age degree of CSCI or CSC integration and interface complexity									
(-,		-	_	terfaces, some complex							
				CSCI or CSC integration process anticipated							
	(6) Additional C			CSCIO	i CSC integration	on proces	s arritic	ipateu			
	(b) Additional C		ints								
DIFFIGURETY OF PROCESSING LOCIS (V.)	()			-l N	4 A T L I E N 4 A T I C A	LCOMPL	CVITV	(V ana)			
c. DIFFICULTY OF PROCESSING LOGIC (X	a. IV	d. MATHEMATICAL COMPLEXITY (X one)									
(1) Simple logic, straightforward I/O					(1) Simple algorithms and simple calculations						
(2) Difficult, highly nested logic, real-t		(2) Majority of simple algorithms and calculations									
(3) Routine nesting, minimal interface with operating system, standard I/O					(3) Algorithms and calculations of average complexity						
(4) Complex dynamic resource allocation, multiple exception handles,					(4) Some difficult or complex calculations						
recursion					(5) Many difficult algorithms and complex calculations						
(5) Additional Comments					(6) Additional Comments						
e. DEGREE OF REAL-TIME (X one)				f DI	ERCENTAGE OF	TOTAL	SOLID	CE CODE AL	LOCATED		
(1) No tasking, essentially batch response					O EACH OPERA						
(2) Interactive with limited (Ada) tasking					Sum equals 10						
(3) Interrupt drive, tasking in milliseconds					(1) Real-time			(4) On-line			
(4) Concurrent tasking, rendezvous in milliseconds					-		<u> </u>	1 ' '			
• LECTRO DE LA SKULO TELICEZVOUS IN	millicocondo				(2) Time cons	ctrainad		1 (5) Other /	Spaciful		
					(2) Time-cons			(5) Other (Specify)		
(5) Concurrent tasking, rendezvous in (6) Additional Comments				/ <u>/</u> /	(2) Time-cons (3) Non-time- additional Comm	critical		(5) Other (Specify)		

DD Form 2630, AUG 92

g. DISPLAY REQUIREMENT (X all that ap	h. S	h. SOFTWARE TESTABILITY (X one)						
(1) Simple I/O (4) Graphi		ed	(1) Very dif			(3) Time insensitive		
(2) User-friendly, menu driven	(5) Not applicable		(2) Difficult			(4) Easy		
(3) Pressure-sensitive devices (touch	h screen, joystick)	(5) A	Additional Cor	nments				
(6) Additional Comments								
11. SOFTWARE RELIABILITY								
a. EFFECT OF SOFTWARE FAILURE	b. BACKUP CONSIDERATION			→	RY CC	ONSIDERATIONS		
(X as applicable)	(1) Data protection be	yond regular	backup	(X one)				
(1) Inconvenience	required			(1) Alternative methods need to be developed in case of software				
(2) Easily recoverable loss	(2) No special backup	-		failure				
(3) Moderate loss (Recoverable)	(3) Alternative method		developed	(2) No special recovery requirements				
(4) Major loss (High financial loss)	in case of software	e failure		(3) Additional Comments				
(5) Additional Comments	(4) Additional Comments			(3) Addition	ai Con	nments		
12 DATABASE CHARACTERISTICS //s	policable)			<u> </u>				
12. DATABASE CHARACTERISTICS (If ap a. DATABASE SIZE	b. PHYSICAL DATA FILES	c DATAB	ASE COMBLE	XITY (X one,	<u>,)</u>			
(1) Kilobytes	(1) Number of Files			ew files, low		tv		
(1) Kilobytes	(1) Number of Files	—	•	ous variables	•	ıy		
(2) Additional Comments	(2) Additional Comments		•	fields data int		one		
(2) Additional Comments	(2) / Gartional Comments		Complex files,		eractic	כות		
			lighly comple					
			nal Comments					
		(1)						
13. SOFTWARE REUSE (If applicable)	ı							
a. LOGICAL COMPLEXITY OF CODE REL	JSED FROM OTHER	h. STRUC	TURAL COME	PLEXITY OF C	ODF F	REUSED FROM OTHER		
PROGRAMS (X one)	3023		AMS (X one,			LEGGED THOM OTHER		
(1) Simple algorithms and simple ca	Iculations	(1) N	lonprocedural	(Generated,	query,	spreadsheets, etc.)		
(2) Majority of simple algorithms an	d calculations	(2) V	Vell structure	d with usable	modul	les		
(3) Algorithms and calculations of a	(3) F	air structure,	some comple	x path	ns and modules			
(4) Some difficult or complex calcul	(4) P	oor structure	, many compl	iex pat	ths and modules			
(5) Many difficult algorithms and co	mplex calculations	(5) Addition	nal Comments	S				
(6) Additional Comments								
c. COMPLEXITY OF DATABASE REUSED	FROM OTHER PROGRAMS					ANOTHER PROGRAM,		
(If applicable)				JSE (X one)				
(1) Simple data, few variables, little	· -	(1) N						
(2) Several data elements, simple da	•	(2) Reuse garage element						
(3) Multiple files, switches, and data		(3) Reuse across element						
(4) Complex data elements, complex		(4) Reuse in another DoD program application (5) Additional Comments						
(5) Very complex data elements and (6) Additional Comments	a interactions	(5) Addition	iai Comment	3				
(o) / Additional Comments								
14. SOFTWARE MAINTENANCE								
(1) Indicate number of years mainte	nance will be required	(4) II	ndicate annua	al change rate	for so	oftware		
(2) Indicate number of separate mai	•		nal Comments		101 30	Ttware		
(3) Indicate estimated maintenance/								
15. ADDITIONAL CSCI (CSC) FACTORS (s that could a	iffect the cos	t and/or size (of the	CSCI/CSC software		
being developed (e.g., known contrac	tor-specific information))							

DD Form 2630, AUG 92